

The sample median

Assume that the observations are ranked from the smallest ~~to the smallest~~ to the largest as:

$$X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \dots, X_{(n)}$$

The sample median, $\tilde{x}$, is then the observation in the middle.

$$\tilde{x} = \begin{cases} X_{(\frac{n+1}{2})}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{1}{2}(X_{(\frac{n}{2})} + X_{(\frac{n}{2}+1)}), & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

The sample median is more robust against outliers than the average. It represents the value for which 50% of the observations are smaller and is also called the 2. sample quartile, Q_2 . The first quartile, Q_1 , represents the value for which 25% of the observations are smaller.

Similarly Q_3 (3. quartile) represents the value for which 75% of the observations are smaller.

The way MINITAB finds Q_1 and Q_3 is:

Q_1 is the value in position $\frac{n+1}{4}$

Q_3 is the value in position $3(\frac{n+1}{4})$

In the case of 10 observations

$$\frac{n+1}{4} = 2.75, \quad 3\left(\frac{n+1}{4}\right) = 8.25$$

$$\Rightarrow Q_1 = \frac{1}{4} X_{(2)} + \frac{3}{4} X_{(3)} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_3 = \frac{3}{4} X_{(8)} + \frac{1}{4} X_{(9)}$$

Box-plot

Compute Q_2 = sample median

Compute $h = Q_3 - Q_1$ = the height of the box

Observations further away than $\frac{3}{2}h$ from the top or the bottom of the box are called outliers.

Those further away than $3h$ are called strong outliers

A line is drawn from the top and the bottom of the box to the largest and the smallest observation that is not an outlier.

Normal-plot

Let $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

$$F_X(x) = P(X \leq x) = P\left(\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma} \leq \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right) = \Phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right) \quad N(0,1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Phi^{-1}(F_X(x)) = \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}$$

Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ be a random sample from a normal distribution. Ordered by size the values (realizations) can be written as

$$x_{(1)}, x_{(2)}, \dots, x_{(n)}$$

Then
$$F_x(x_{(i)}) \approx \frac{\# x_i \leq x_{(i)}}{n} = \frac{i}{n} \approx \begin{cases} \frac{i - \frac{1}{2}}{n} \\ \frac{i - \frac{3}{8}}{n + \frac{1}{4}} \end{cases} = f_i$$

A plot of $\Phi^{-1}(f_i)$ against $x_{(i)}$ should approximately become a straight line

With method A Inference from the use of method A

$$x_1, \dots, x_{10} \sim N(\mu_A, \sigma_A^2), \quad \mu_A \text{ and } \sigma_A \text{ is unknown,}$$

Is the expected mean less than 85?

$$H_0: \mu_A = 85$$

$$H_1: \mu_A < 85$$

The random sample approach

Turboservator $\frac{\bar{X} - 85}{\frac{\sigma_A}{\sqrt{n}}} \sim N(0,1)$, but since σ_A is unknown

where $n=10$.

we must use $T = \frac{\bar{X} - 85}{\frac{s_A}{\sqrt{n}}} \sim t_9$ Chapter 9.4, 9.5, 10.4

$$\text{Here } s_A = \sqrt{\frac{1}{9} \sum_{i=1}^{10} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

$$t_{obs} = \frac{84.24 - 85}{\frac{2.902}{\sqrt{10}}} = -0.83, \quad P(T \leq -0.83 | H_0) = 0.214$$

No particular reason to reject H_0 .

Want to find out if $\mu_B > \mu_A$

$$H_0: \mu_B = \mu_A$$

$$H_1: \mu_B > \mu_A$$

chapter (10)

The random sample approach

If $X_1, \dots, X_{10} \sim N(\mu_A, \sigma_A^2)$ and independent
and $Y_1, \dots, Y_{10} \sim N(\mu_B, \sigma_B^2)$ and independent and
independent of $X_1, \dots, X_{10}$, then

$$\frac{\bar{Y} - \bar{X} - (\mu_B - \mu_A)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_B^2}{10} + \frac{\sigma_A^2}{10}}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

Since, $\text{Var}(\bar{Y}) = \frac{\sigma_B^2}{10}$ and $\text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma_A^2}{10}$ chapter (8.4, 9.8, 10.5)

But σ_A^2 and σ_B^2 are unknown

$$S_A^2 = \frac{1}{9} \sum_{i=1}^{10} (X_i - \bar{X})^2, \quad S_B^2 = \frac{1}{9} \sum_{i=1}^{10} (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 \quad \text{Chapter (8)}$$

If $\sigma_A^2 = \sigma_B^2 = \sigma^2$ we have

$$S_p^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{10} (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{10} (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2}{10 + 10 - 2} \quad \text{Kap (9.8, 10.5)}$$

$$\text{and } T = \frac{\bar{Y} - \bar{X} - (\mu_B - \mu_A)}{S \sqrt{\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10}}} \text{ is } t_{18}$$

$$\text{Under } H_0: \mu_B - \mu_A = 0 \text{ and } t_{\text{obs}} = \frac{85.54 - 84.24}{3.3 \sqrt{\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10}}} = 0.88$$

$$P(T \geq 0.88 | H_0) = 0.195$$

No particular reason to claim that $\mu_B > \mu_A$

$$\text{If } \sigma_A^2 = \sigma_B^2$$

$$\text{If } \sigma_A^2 \neq \sigma_B^2 \text{ we have}$$

$$T = \frac{\bar{Y} - \bar{X} - (\mu_B - \mu_A)}{\sqrt{\frac{s_A^2}{10} + \frac{s_B^2}{10}}} \approx t\text{-distributed with}$$

$$v = \frac{\left(\frac{s_A^2}{10} + \frac{s_B^2}{10}\right)^2}{\left(\frac{s_A^2}{10}\right)^2/9 + \left(\frac{s_B^2}{10}\right)^2/9}$$

$$t_{obs} = \frac{85.54 - 84.24}{\sqrt{\frac{(2.9)^2}{10} + \frac{(3.65)^2}{10}}} = 0.88$$

$$v = 17 \Rightarrow P(T \geq t_{obs} | H_0) = 0.195$$

An alternative approach

Use of historical data

For method A we have 210 data: $x_1, \dots, x_{210}$

1: A: $x_1, \dots, x_{10}$ B: $x_{11}, \dots, x_{20}$

2: A: $x_{21}, \dots, x_{30}$ B: $x_{31}, \dots, x_{40}$

191: A: $x_{191}, \dots, x_{200}$ B: $x_{201}, \dots, x_{210}$

Only 9 out of 191 differences are greater than 1.3

$$P(\bar{x}_B - \bar{x}_A > 1.3) = \frac{9}{191} = 0.047 < 0.05 \text{ and may indicate}$$

that Method B is better than Method A